Regarding the complexity of the ERAVE system, participants were evenly split between unnecessarily complex and **not** unnecessarily complex.

Most participants were satisfied with ERAVE reporting capabilities, believed ERAVE was a faster method of reporting EHDI information and did not feel as though intensive training was required to use the system.

**Identify functionalities you feel are missing in ERAVE.**

Most participants opted not to provide a response (86.9%). Participants that did respond requested:

- Additional options for reporting evaluation results, such as toneburst bone conduction thresholds.
- Improvements to the organization of the system.

**Additional Comments**

Participants stated:

- Hearing screening results are easier to report than diagnostic results.
- Unaware test results indicating further changes in hearing should be submitted.
- Delays in reporting timeliness is attributed to the nature of clinics not always allowing for data to be entered same day.