Mammography Evaluation Form - Physician's Review Form

Reviewing Physician: 
Facility Under Review: 
Type of Review: 
Film Identification: 
Date of Images: 
Type of submission: 
Mammo unit identification: 

Film Technique Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIEW</th>
<th>kVp</th>
<th>mAs</th>
<th>Compression (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Film type as stated by the facility under review: (Fatty, Dense, or Choice)

Actual Breast Type as determined by the Clinical Image Reviewer

1- Fatty
2-Average
3-Moderately Dense
4-Dense

Fatty Enough for Evaluation? YES NO
Dense Enough for Evaluation? YES NO

**If "NO" Films will not be evaluated - No further review is required**

I. POSITIONING

MLO Views

* Pectoral Muscle not well visualized or does not extend to or below the nipple line. RMLO LMLO Both

* Inframammary fold not open. RMLO LMLO Both

* Low axilla not included. RMLO LMLO Both

* Retroglandular fat not visible behind glandular tissue. RMLO LMLO Both

* Other. RMLO LMLO Both
 Comments on the Positioning of the MLO Views

CC Views

☐ Posterior Nipple line should not be less than 1.0 cm from MLO.
☐ All breast tissue not visualized (excluding the axillary tail).
☐ Nipple was not centered.
☐ Other.

Please circle the view(s) that had the deficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>RCC</th>
<th>LCC</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on the Positioning of CC Views

General Positioning

☐ Nipple not in profile on at least one view.
☐ Skin folds.
☐ Other body parts projected over the breast image.
☐ Other.
I. POSITIONING (Continued)

Comments on General Positioning

Positive Aspects of Positioning (Bonus)

- Pectoral Muscle Visualized on the CC views.
- Excellent Patient Positioning by the Technologist.

Most likely causes of the positioning deficiencies.

- Inappropriate mammographic projections.
- Technologist’s positioning technique.
- Unsuitable Mammographic Equipment.
- Wrong size recording system.
- Other.

II. Compression

Please circle the view(s) with deficiency

- Poor separation of parenchymal densities.
  [ ] RMLO  [ ] LMLO  [ ] LCC  [ ] RCC

- Patient motion.
  [ ] RMLO  [ ] LMLO  [ ] LCC  [ ] RCC

- Non-uniform exposure levels or detail.
  [ ] RMLO  [ ] LMLO  [ ] LCC  [ ] RCC

- Other.
  [ ] RMLO  [ ] LMLO  [ ] LCC  [ ] RCC
II. Compression (Continued)

Most likely cause of compression deficiencies:

- Undercompression by the technologist.
- Unsuitable compression device.
- Other.

Comments on Compression

---

III. Exposure

- Overexposed (dark/overpenetrated).
- Underexposed (light)/underpenetrated.
- Other.

Most likely cause of exposure deficiencies

- Improper manual timing.
- Improper technique factors.
- Inadequate film processing (over-or-underdeveloped).
- Other.

Comments on Exposure

---
IV. Spatial Resolution/Sharpness

Please circle the view(s) with deficiency

* Poor delineation of linear structures.
  RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC

* Poor delineation of tissue margins.
  RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC

* Poor delineation of microcalcifications.
  RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC

Other.
  RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC

Most likely cause of exposure deficiencies

Undercompression.
Screen/film-screen contact.
Motion Blur.
Other.

Comments on Spatial Resolution/Sharpness

V. Contrast

* Inadequate contrast ("gray", "flat", "low contrast").

Other.

Most likely cause of contrast deficiencies

Improper tube kVp.
Film printing or film development.
Excessive Scatter.
V. Contrast (Continued)

Comments on Contrast

VI. Noise

* □ Visually striking mottle pattern. (n/a for digital films)

* □ Noise-limited microcalcification detection.

* □ Noise-limited tissue characterization.

* □ Other.

Comments on Noise

VII. Artifacts

* □ Roller Marks/ Printer artifacts

□ Scratches.

□ Lint.

Please circle the view(s) with deficiency

RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC

RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC

RMLO  LMLO  LCC  RCC
Overall Film Quality

Pass

| A deficiency in any single aspect denoted by an asterisk (*) will be sufficient Cause for failing the patient image quality review. Three or more deficiencies In any other aspect is also cause for failure. |

Fail

If the images fail, please choose one of the following:

- Images fail, but are of diagnostic quality
- Images fail to the extent that there is potential to adversely affect diagnostic capacity of images produced at this facility.

Suspicion of pathology: Please review the film quality as usual

Additional comments regarding the clinical image review/suspected pathology:

Reviewing Physician's Signature: ____________________________

Name Printed or typed: ____________________________

Date of Review: ____________________________